# **Update on biomarkers of glycemic control**

## **Krhač, Maja; Vučić Lovrenčić, Marijana**

*Source / Izvornik:* **World Journal of Diabetes, 2019, 10, 1 - 15**

**Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)**

<https://doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v10.i1.1>

*Permanent link / Trajna poveznica:* <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:264:192781>

*Rights / Prava:* [Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) / [Imenovanje-Nekomercijalno 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)  [međunarodna](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

*Download date / Datum preuzimanja:* **2024-11-22**



*Repository / Repozitorij:*

[Merkur University Hospital Repository](https://repozitorij.kb-merkur.hr)



# World Journal of *Diabetes*

*World J Diabetes* 2019 January 15; 10(1): 1-62





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

W J D *World Journal of Diabetes*

**Contents Contents Monthly Volume 10 Number 1 January 15, 2019** 

#### **REVIEW**

**1** Update on biomarkers of glycemic control *Krhač M, Lovrenčić MV*

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

- **16** Effects of diabetic ketoacidosis in the respiratory system *Gallo de Moraes A, Surani S*
- **23** Exploratory metabolomics of metabolic syndrome: A status report *Lent-Schochet D, McLaughlin M, Ramakrishnan N, Jialal I*

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Case Control Study**

- **37** Diabetes in the Kokan region of India *Suvarna P, Shruti K, Maruti D, Charudatta J*
- **47** Relationship between sonographically measured median nerve cross-sectional area and presence of peripheral neuropathy in diabetic subjects *Attah FA, Asaleye CM, Omisore AD, Kolawole BA, Aderibigbe AS, Alo M*

#### **Retrospective Cohort Study**

**57** Early *vs* late oral nutrition in patients with diabetic ketoacidosis admitted to a medical intensive care unit *Lipatov K, Kurian KK, Shaver C, White HD, Ghamande S, Arroliga AC, Surani S*



**WJD** https://www.wjgnet.com



© 2019 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com) https://www.wjgnet.com





Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com *World J Diabetes* 2019 January 15; 10(1): 1-15

DOI: [10.4239/wjd.v10.i1.1](https://dx.doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v10.i1.1) ISSN 1948-9358 (online)

*REVIEW*

# **Update on biomarkers of glycemic control**

#### Maja Krhač, Marijana Vučić Lovrenčić

**ORCID number:** Maja Krhač [\(0000-0002-4510-8360](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4510-8360)); Marijana Vučić Lovrenčić [\(0000-0001-7365-0627](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7365-0627)).

**Author contributions:** The authors equally contributed to this paper in the conception, literature review and analysis, drafting and editing, and final approval of the submission.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** No potential conflicts of interest.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: [http://creativecommons.org/licen](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)  $\frac{\text{ses}}{\text{bv-nc}}$ /4.0/

**Manuscript source**: Invited manuscript

**Received:** August 29, 2018 **Peer-review started:** August 29, 2018

**First decision:** October 16, 2018 **Revised:** November 14, 2018 **Accepted:** December 5, 2018 **Article in press:** December 5, 2018 **Published online:** January 15, 2019 **Maja Krhač, Marijana Vučić Lovrenčić,** Division of Laboratory Medicine, Department of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine, Merkur University Hospital, Zagreb 10000, Croatia

**Corresponding author:** Marijana Vučić Lovrenčić, PhD, Senior Scientist, Division of Laboratory Medicine, Department of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine, Merkur University Hospital, Zajčeva 19, Zagreb 10000, Croatia. [vucic@idb.hr](mailto:vucic@idb.hr) **Telephone:** +385-1-2353861 **Fax:** +385-1-2353847

### **Abstract**

Attaining and maintaining good glycemic control is a cornerstone of diabetes care. The monitoring of glycemic control is currently based on the self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) and laboratory testing for hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), which is a surrogate biochemical marker of the average glycemia level over the previous 2-3 mo period. Although hyperglycemia is a key biochemical feature of diabetes, both the level of and exposure to high glucose, as well as glycemic variability, contribute to the pathogenesis of diabetic complications and follow different patterns in type 1 and type 2 diabetes. HbA1c provides a valuable, standardized and evidence-based parameter that is relevant for clinical decision making, but several biological and analytical confounders limit its accuracy in reflecting true glycemia. It has become apparent in recent years that other glycated proteins such as fructosamine, glycated albumin, and the nutritional monosaccharide 1,5-anhydroglucitol, as well as integrated measures from direct glucose testing by an SMBG/continuous glucose monitoring system, may provide valuable complementary data, particularly in circumstances when HbA1c results may be unreliable or are insufficient to assess the risk of adverse outcomes. Long-term associations of these alternative biomarkers of glycemia with the risk of complications need to be investigated in order to provide clinically relevant cut-off values and to validate their utility in diverse populations of diabetes patients.

**Key words:** Diabetes mellitus; Hemoglobin A1c; Fructosamine; Glycated albumin; 1,5 anhydroglucitol; Plasma glucose; Glucose variability; Diabetic complications

**©The Author(s) 2019.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** Monitoring of glycemic control is currently based on the self-monitoring of blood glucose and laboratory testing for hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), which is a surrogate marker of the average glycemia level over the past 2-3 mo. The severity of hyperglycemia and glycemic variability contribute to the pathogenesis of complications,



 $W$ WJD **https://www.wjgnet.com** 

but the HbA1c measurement reflects only a piece of these important variables. In this review, we provide a critical update on the use of HbA1c and alternative biomarkers of glycemic control, with particular emphasis on the need for a personalized approach in utilizing and interpreting different tests in a clinically meaningful manner.

**Citation:** Krhač M, Lovrenčić MV. Update on biomarkers of glycemic control. *World J Diabetes* 2019; 10(1): 1-15 **URL**: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9358/full/v10/i1/1.htm

**DOI**: https://dx.doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v10.i1.1

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Attaining and maintaining good glycemic control is the cornerstone of diabetes care<sup>[\[1\]](#page-13-0)</sup>. The results of the seminal Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) clearly evidenced that glycemic control is causatively related to microvascular complications in type 1 diabetes<sup>[[2](#page-13-1)]</sup>. A long-term follow-up in the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Study (EDIC) confirmed that keeping glycemia as close as possible to its normal range with intensified insulin therapy ameliorated both microvascular and cardiovascular complications for 30 years in the same cohort of patients<sup>[[3](#page-13-2)]</sup>.

Similar evidence of the beneficial effect of intensive glucose control practices in reducing the risk of diabetic complications, adverse cardiovascular outcomes and mortality were shown in type 2 diabetes patients in both the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) intervention and in follow-up trials[[4](#page-13-3),[5](#page-13-4)]. However, although additional intensification of glucose control in type 2 diabetes patients provided some benefits<sup>[\[6](#page-13-5)[,7\]](#page-13-6)</sup>, it was associated with serious adverse outcomes such as an increased overall mortality<sup>[[8](#page-13-7)]</sup> that was most likely due to severe hypoglycemia as a side-effect of a more aggressive antihyperglycemic therapy<sup>[[9](#page-13-8)]</sup>. These data indicated that a personalized approach to glycemic goals that uses clinically validated biomarkers rather than a "one-size-fits-all" concept may provide a valid rationale for optimal diabetes care.

The concept of glycemic control monitoring is currently based on self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) and laboratory testing for hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), which is a surrogate biochemical marker of the average glycemia level over the previous 2-3 mo period<sup>[[10](#page-13-9)]</sup>. HbA1c emerged as a key determinant of the risk cut-off for diabetic complications and as a setting point for optimal glycemic control in both DCCT and UKPDS trials, and it is considered to be a gold standard of diabetes care in contemporary clinical practice<sup>[[11](#page-13-10)]</sup>. HbA1c provides valuable, standardized and evidence-based information that is relevant for clinical decision-making; however, several biological and analytical interferences, as well as clinical conditions, limit its accuracy in reflecting the true glycemia level<sup>[\[12](#page-13-11)[,13](#page-13-12)]</sup>. Recent technological advances in the field of continuous glucose monitoring systems (CGMS) have revealed new insights in short-term glucose dynamics which are not reflected by HbA1c, although it seems to be relevant in assessing the risk of diabetic complications<sup>[\[14](#page-14-0)[,15](#page-14-1)]</sup>.

Thus, alternative glycemic markers that provide reliable information about glycemic control in addition to and beyond HbA1c are needed to improve the quality of clinical care across a heterogeneous diabetes population<sup>[[16,](#page-14-2)[17\]](#page-14-3)</sup>.

The aim of this narrative review is to provide a critical update on the use of HbA1c and alternative biomarkers of glycemic control, with a particular emphasis given to the need for a personalized approach in utilizing and interpreting different tests in a clinically meaningful manner.

#### **HBA1C**

HbA1c results from the posttranslational modification of hemoglobin A by the nonenzymatic covalent binding of glucose to the N-terminal valine of the β-globin chain<sup>[[10](#page-13-9)]</sup>. This reaction is termed glycation and affects all structural and circulating proteins with free amino-acid residues that are available for binding monosaccharides. The glycation of hemoglobin is a two-step chemical reaction whereby glucose covalently binds to the free amino-groups within globin chains<sup>[[18\]](#page-14-4)</sup>. The first step of this process results in labile aldimine (a Schiff base), which can either



dissociate or further convert to a stabile ketoamine by an Amadori rearrangement, depending on the glucose concentration in the blood<sup>[\[10](#page-13-9)]</sup>. HbA1c was first observed as a minor chromatographic fraction of adult hemoglobin in 1958 and was named according to its chromatographic column elution sequence<sup>[\[19\]](#page-14-5)</sup>, but its relevance in diabetes was revealed in 1969 by Rahbar $^{[20]}$  $^{[20]}$  $^{[20]}$ , who observed significantly higher HbA1c values in diabetic patients. Since glycation is a nonenzymatic reaction, it complies with the law of mass action. Thus, assuming normal erythropoiesis and a stable hemoglobin concentration, HbA1c reflects the average glycemia level during one red blood cell life cycle (2-3 mo)<sup>[\[21](#page-14-7)]</sup>.

Considering the high biological variability, the dynamics of glucose, as well as the limitations of blood glucose monitoring technology, at that time, the possibility of obtaining an integrated average glycemia value by the measurement of a single biomarker elicited immense interest and provided a powerful tool in both diabetes research and clinical management. HbA1c testing was soon facilitated by the development of a new analytical methodology that was suitable for use in clinical laboratories.

Various analytical methods for HbA1c determination commonly utilize either of the two principles [\(Table 1](#page-7-0)): (1) HbA1c separation from other hemoglobin fractions that is based on charge differences using either chromatography or electrophoresis; or (2) the direct measurement of HbA1c by specific binding (immunochemistry or affinity) or enzymatic cleavage<sup>[[22](#page-14-8)]</sup>. Due to differences between these analytical methods in their use of different principles and a lack of standardization, HbA1c testing inherently suffers from a significant between-method variability which has seriously affected its clinical accuracy in the longitudinal monitoring of average glycemia with different methods and comparing the results of the DCCT- and UKPDS-derived targets. Heterogeneity of molecular entities that were measured by different methods significantly contributed to the analytical variability, as the glycation reaction involved not only β-N-terminal valine but also other accessible amino groups within the α and β-globin chains, and these results depended on the type of analyte that was captured by a particular method<sup>[\[12](#page-13-11)]</sup>. Thus, the standardization of the HbA1c measurement and reporting that included a uniform definition of the analyte was shortly identified as one of the most important issues in diabetes care<sup>[[23,](#page-14-9)[24\]](#page-14-10)</sup>.

Clinical harmonization was accomplished within the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP), which was established by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the American Association of Clinical Chemistry (AACC). The goal of the NGSP was to harmonize the HbA1c results that were obtained by different methods with the highly reproducible but insufficiently specific method (ionexchange chromatography) that was used in the DCCT and UKPDS trials, thereby enabling the traceability and comparability of results to the evidence-based clinical criteria<sup>[[25](#page-14-11)]</sup>. Almost simultaneously to the NGSP, the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry (IFCC) set up an HbA1c Standardization Program that was aimed at designing a comprehensive reference system with both reference methods and a primary reference standard for a structurally-defined analyte<sup>[\[23](#page-14-9),[26](#page-14-12)[,27](#page-14-13)]</sup>. The comparison between the two reference systems revealed an excellent linear correlation between the DCCT- and IFCC-reference systems but significantly lower HbA1c values with the latter, more specific method. This finding raised concerns regarding the risks of deterioration of the glycemic control with the adoption of the new reference system, which had been reported previously<sup>[\[28](#page-14-14)]</sup>.

In 2010, a Global Consensus on HbA1c measurement and reporting was issued by an international committee representing the ADA, European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD), International Diabetes Federation (IDF), IFCC and International Society for the Pediatric Diabetes (ISPAD)[[29\]](#page-14-15) . Briefly, the Global Consensus defined the IFCC reference as the only valid anchor for commercial methods calibration and a dual reporting of the HbA1c results as mmol/mol (IFCCrelated units) and % (NGSP/DCCT-related units). A master equation describing the relationship between the two reference systems should be used for the interconversion of the results:

HbA1c NGSP/DCCT (%) = 0.09148 × HbA1c IFCC (mmol/mol) + 2.152

HbA1c IFCC (mmol/mol) = 10.93 × HbA1c NGSP/DCCT (%) - 23.50

Editors of scientific journals were encouraged to require both units of HbA1c reporting to promote the clarity and comparability of results between studies that used HbA1c as an outcome measure and to facilitate the combination of these results in meta-analyses. The Global Consensus definitely enabled the uniform traceability and improved analytical quality of HbA1c measurements<sup>[[12\]](#page-13-11)</sup>; however, it failed to harmonize the reporting of these results, as different countries use different reporting units, which may thus complicate a direct comparison of results across the world<sup>[[30\]](#page-14-16)</sup>.

Today, the analytical procedures for HbA1c measurement are harmonized and the between-method/laboratory variabilities have been gradually reduced towards a





#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 1 Characteristics of the analytical methods for hemoglobin A1c measurement**

DCCT: Diabetes Control and Complications Trial; HbF: Fetal hemoglobin; GHb: Total glycated hemoglobin.

desirable goal, which is a coefficient of variation (CV) < 3.5% $^{\lceil 12 \rceil}$ . Regarding the withinlaboratory imprecision, current guidelines recommend a CV < 2% for NGSP-HbA1c equivalents<sup>[[31](#page-14-17)]</sup>, and this is achievable with almost all of the commercially available laboratory methods apart from point-of-care systems for HbA1c testing, which still need improvement<sup>[\[22](#page-14-8)]</sup>. However, global harmonization and ongoing efforts to improve the analytical quality<sup>[[32\]](#page-14-18)</sup> cannot obviate the limitations of HbA1c measurement due to the hemoglobin-related interferences.

It has long been recognized that hemoglobin variants interfere with HbA1c synthesis and measurement, and this interference depends on the nature of the congenital disorder afflicting hemoglobin synthesis and the analytical method that is used to measure HbA1c<sup>[\[22\]](#page-14-8)</sup>. Thalassemia traits, HbS, HbC, HbE and HbF are among the most abundant hemoglobin-related interferences<sup>[[33](#page-14-19)]</sup>. Additionally, other posttranslational modifications of hemoglobin such as carbamylation by uremic toxins in end-stage renal disease may significantly interfere with some HbA1c assays[[34](#page-14-20)]. It should be noted that the majority of interferences have been mitigated by improvements of analytical methodologies, and the remaining interferences have been depicted and rigorously scrutinized. A comprehensive list of HbA1c methods that have been characterized for their susceptibility to hemoglobin-related interferences is available and is continuously updated on the NGSP website<sup>[[35](#page-14-21)]</sup>.

Biological confounders influencing the accuracy of HbA1c as a glycemic marker have emerged as a significant issue after analytical harmonization, despite the fact that a substantial intraindividual variability in HbA1c values was recognized long ago. Studies on the relationship between HbA1c measurements and average glycemia levels revealed a strong linear correlation with a wide interindividual variability, *e.g*., an HbA1c of 7% (53 mmol/mol) could correspond to an average glucose concentration ranging from 6.8 to 10.3  $mmol/L^{36}$ . Physiological factors such age and ethnicity, as well as genetics, seem to be major determinants of this variability.

Age was found to be associated with a gradual increase of HbA1c levels in nondiabetic individuals independently of sex and level of glycemia, indicating that age-specific reference intervals/clinical cut-off points may improve the clinical accuracy of this test in both the diagnosis and management of diabetes<sup>[\[37](#page-14-23)]</sup>. There are ethnic differences in HbA1c values even when glycemia levels are the same; a recent meta-analysis revealed that Caucasians have slightly lower HbA1c values in comparison to persons of other ethnic groups<sup>[[38](#page-14-24)]</sup>. While the clinical relevance of this finding needs to be further investigated, the authors concluded that a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms behind this observed between-race variability in HbA1c may improve its clinical applicability.

Recent genetic studies have revealed that multiple genomic loci are associated with HbA1c levels, and this could provide a plausible explanation for the physiological factors determining its variability and clinical utilization towards a more personalized approach<sup>[[39\]](#page-14-25)</sup>. Among the 60 genetic variants that were found to influence HbA1c, 19 variants associated with glycemic pathways were identified, and among the rest of variants that were involved in nonglycemic pathways, 22 erythrocytic variants were found $^{[40]}$  $^{[40]}$  $^{[40]}$ . Among these, a variant on the X chromosome coding for glucose-6phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) was associated with a significantly higher HbA1c variability in populations of African ancestry when compared to other ethnic groups. This highly prevalent variant is associated with a shorter erythrocyte lifespan and, consequently, falsely decreased HbA1c levels, which may have serious impacts for diabetes care in afflicted individuals<sup>[\[40](#page-14-26)]</sup>.

Nonglycemic factors affecting HbA1c levels include erythropoiesis, hemoglobin synthesis and conditions influencing red blood cell survival. Deficiency anemias generally elicit falsely increased HbA1c levels due to the increased levels of aged erythrocytes that are found in patients with this disease, whereas falsely decreased HbA1c levels can be observed in hemolytic anemias of any cause $^{\lbrack 41]}$ . Nonhematological conditions influencing HbA1c values include pregnancy, chronic



renal failure and certain medications<sup>[\[22](#page-14-8)]</sup>. Variability in the normal erythrocyte lifespan is another significant confounder of HbA1c accuracy. Malka *et al*<sup>[[42](#page-15-1)]</sup> recently proposed a mechanistic mathematical model integrating hemoglobin glycation and red blood cell kinetics that provided a personalized insight into average glucose levels and reduced the occurrence of diagnostic errors due to a misinterpretation of average glycemia (as reflected by HbA1c) by more than 50%. The applicability and clinical utility of the proposed model have yet to be determined.

Furthermore, part of the variability in HbA1c is considered to be a consequence of differences in glycation rate, which is a concept that was proposed as the "glycation gap" 15 years ago<sup>[[43\]](#page-15-2)</sup>. The glycation gap hypothesis is based on the differences between the intra- and extracellular surrogate markers of average glycemia, *i.e*., HbA1c and fructosamine, and it was proposed as an explanation to the commonly encountered clinical problem of discrepancy between various glycemia measures that cannot be attributed to any other confounding factor<sup>[[44](#page-15-3)]</sup>. In spite of subsequent evidence from a twin study that shows that the glycation gap may be a genetically determined characteristic of an individual<sup>[\[45](#page-15-4)]</sup>, this concept has been considered implausible by some authors due to the lack of validating data or supporting evidence of the underlying mechanism[\[46](#page-15-5)] . Nevertheless, an accumulating body of evidence indicates that glycemic variability, as assessed by either the glycation gap or another discordance measure called the hemoglobin glycation index<sup>[[47\]](#page-15-6)</sup>, is indeed associated with adverse diabetes-related outcomes such as mortality, micro- and macrovascular complications, and hypoglycemic episodes that are associated with intensive treatment<sup>[[48](#page-15-7),[49](#page-15-8)]</sup>. Interindividual heterogeneity in glucose transport across the erythrocyte membrane was proposed as a possible explanation for inconsistencies between HbA1c and other measures of glycemia<sup>[[50\]](#page-15-9)</sup>. Genome-wide association studies also support the plausibility of the glycation gap concept since one of the identified loci, FN3K, encodes fructosamine-3-kinase, which is an enzyme that is involved in deglycation of glycated proteins<sup>[\[39](#page-14-25)]</sup>. Dunmore *et al*<sup>[[51](#page-15-10)]</sup> recently reported a significant difference in the erythrocyte fructosamine-3-kinase activities between glycation gap categories and pinpointed FN3K both as a novel predictor of the risk for development of and as a potential target for the prevention of diabetic complications.

Current clinical guidelines recommend regular HbA1c testing twice a year in all diabetic patients who achieve their glycemic targets, and they recommend an increased frequency of testing not to exceed four times a year for patients who have changed therapy and/or have not achieved their treatment goals<sup>[[1\]](#page-13-0)</sup>. The general recommendation is to keep the HbA1c levels < 7% (53 mmol/mol); however, the target should be individualized for individual patients depending on the diabetes duration, age or life expectancy, CVD and other comorbidities, hypoglycemia unawareness and psychosocial factors<sup>[[52](#page-15-11)]</sup>. A reference change value of  $0.5\%$  (5 mmol/mol) in the longitudinal monitoring of an individual patient is considered to be clinically significant<sup>[[22\]](#page-14-8)</sup>.

The use of HbA1c as a diagnostic test for diabetes with a diagnostic cutoff set at an HbA1c level of 6.5% (48 mmol/mol) has recently been recommended by prominent professional organizations and by the Word Health Organization<sup>[[53](#page-15-12),[54](#page-15-13)]</sup>. Low intraindividual biological variability, the stability of the analyte and the independence of results to the prandial status were the most pronounced advantages of HbA1c over plasma glucose, while higher costs and the limited availability of the test were considered as its disadvantages<sup>[[55\]](#page-15-14)</sup>. However, the diagnostic accuracy of HbA1c at a given threshold was found to be poor in many studies<sup>[56.[58](#page-16-0)]</sup>, as well as in a recent global surveillance on the prevalence and diagnosis of diabetes<sup>[[59\]](#page-16-1)</sup>, which is at least in part a consequence of numerous biological confounders<sup>[\[38](#page-14-24),[60](#page-16-2)]</sup>. A comprehensive list of biological, (patho) physiological and pharmacological factors that may influence the synthesis, measurement and/or interpretation of HbA1c is presented in [Table 2](#page-9-0).

#### **GLYCATED PROTEINS**

Fructosamine (1-amino-1-deoxy fructose) is a common term for all glycated plasma proteins. It is a ketoamine that is formed by the irreversible nonenzymatic binding of glucose to plasma proteins in a process called glycation. Glycation is a nonenzymatic process where a labile Schiff base (aldimine) is formed at an early stage and is subsequently rearranged to a stabile Amadori product (ketoamine) due to the covalent binding of glucose to the lysine, arginine and cysteine amino-group residues within protein molecules[[61\]](#page-16-3).

Glycated albumin (GA) is formed in a similar reaction as fructosamine and is specific to albumin molecule $^{[62]}$  $^{[62]}$  $^{[62]}$ . In conditions that are associated with high glucose levels, plasma proteins are exposed to greater glycation, which leads to increased

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>**Table 2 Biological, (patho)physiological and pharmacological factors influencing hemoglobin A1c**



Age, ethnicity Genetic factors (e.g. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase variants) Pregnancy Red blood cell lifespan Haemolytic anaemia Iron deficiency anaemia Haemoglobin variants Accute haemorrhage Splenomegaly Splenectomy Transfusion Chronic liver disease End-stage renal disease Rheumatoid arthritis Vitamin C

Drugs (aspirin, erytropoietin, dapsone, antiretroviral agents)

Endogenous interferents (high levels of bilirubin/triglycerides)

HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1c.

fructosamine and GA formation. Fructosamine and GA reflect the average blood glucose concentration during the lifetime of either total plasma proteins or albumin, both of which are within the range of two to three weeks[\[63](#page-16-5)].

Despite the fact that albumin is a major constituent of plasma proteins, fructosamine and GA may not be considered as totally equal measures of glycemia due to their differences in analytical procedures and their currently established clinical performance. Fructosamine was identified long ago, but the lack of analytical standardization and problems with the assay's specificity and susceptibility to interference by hyperlipidemia limited its use in diabetes management. Additionally, there was insufficient evidence to correlate fructosamine and GA with long-term outcomes in patients with diabetes<sup>[\[64](#page-16-6)]</sup>.

However, over the years, the development and improvement of methods for determining fructosamine and GA have paved the way for many studies that focused on their analytical and clinical significance. Affinity chromatography<sup>[\[65](#page-16-7)]</sup>, ion-exchange chromatography<sup>[\[66](#page-16-8)]</sup> and high-performance liquid affinity chromatography<sup>[[67](#page-16-9)]</sup> were all developed as methods for the direct measurement of GA along with liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) as a "gold standard"<sup>[\[68\]](#page-16-10)</sup>. However, these methods are complicated and expensive and require dedicated equipment and expertise, and this has limited their routine use. Consequently, simpler and more affordable colorimetric and enzymatic methods, applicable on various automated analytical platforms, were developed for use in clinical laboratories<sup>[\[69](#page-16-11)]</sup>. Enzymatic methods showed a better analytical performance and were free of colorimetric interferences (*e.g*., bilirubin)[\[70-](#page-16-12)[72](#page-16-13)] . Various commercial kits are available for GA measurement depending on the type of enzyme that was used in the reaction and the units used to express the results ( $\mu$ mol/L, mmol/L or % GA fraction).

Currently, the method of choice for fructosamine determination is the second generation of the nitroblue tetrazolium colorimetric procedure, in which there is a separation of glycated from nonglycated proteins based on their differences in chemical reactivity  $^{[73]}$  $^{[73]}$  $^{[73]}$ . The assay itself is inexpensive, rapid, simple, highly specific and free of interferences from uric acid or polylysine. Nevertheless, despite many improvements, this method is still sensitive to rapid changes in ambient temperature and interferences from extremely high levels of some compounds with reducing properties, such as bilirubin and vitamin C $^{\text{\tiny{[64]}}}$  $^{\text{\tiny{[64]}}}$  $^{\text{\tiny{[64]}}}$ . Still unresolved is the issue of whether the resulting fructosamine measurements should be corrected for either total protein or albumin concentrations. The results are relatively ambiguous<sup>[\[74\]](#page-16-15)</sup>, but it was recently reported that correcting the fructosamine measurement for proteins may improve its correlation with HbA1c and its overall performance in detecting diabetes<sup>[\[75](#page-16-16)]</sup>.



Given the faster protein metabolic turnover, fructosamine and GA values reflect shorter-term glycemia levels rather than HbA1c. Additionally, fructosamine and GA are not influenced by anemia or hemoglobinopathies such as HbA1c is, and they can therefore be used in conditions where HbA1c is not reliable due to analytical or biological interferences<sup>[[62](#page-16-4)]</sup>. In conditions such as pregnancy<sup>[[76](#page-16-17)]</sup> and treatment modifications<sup>[[77](#page-16-18)]</sup> fructosamine and GA can detect changes in average blood glucose earlier than HbA1c and thus provide more timely information about the achievement of glycemic control<sup>[\[62](#page-16-4)[,78](#page-16-19),[79\]](#page-16-20)</sup>.

Both fructosamine and GA are the markers of choice when glycemic control needs to be assessed in patients with severe chronic kidney disease (CKD) (stages 4 and 5) $^{\text{[80]}}$  $^{\text{[80]}}$  $^{\text{[80]}}$ . Additionally, in stage 5 CKD patients on hemodialysis, GA can be used as a predictor of overall survival and cardiovascular mortality<sup>[\[81\]](#page-16-22)</sup>. Due to the reduced production and lifespan of red blood cells and to erythropoietin treatment in CKD patients, HbA1c cannot be used as reliable marker, as it can significantly underestimate the true glycemic status in these patients<sup>[[82\]](#page-16-23)</sup>.

The distribution of GA in healthy subjects has been described in diverse populations<sup>[\[83,](#page-16-24)[84](#page-17-0)]</sup>. The Large Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study was conducted in a cohort of almost 12000 participants and proved a strong association of fructosamine and GA with the incidence of diabetes and microvascular complications (prevalent retinopathy and risk of CKD)<sup>[[85](#page-17-1)]</sup>. Together with fructosamine, GA was reported to be strongly associated with HbA1c and fasting glucose<sup>[[86\]](#page-17-2)</sup>. Furthermore, a recent study by Bellia *et al*<sup>[\[87\]](#page-17-3)</sup> evaluated the potential clinical usefulness of GA for the diagnosis of diabetes in an asymptomatic Caucasian population (specifically in Europe) with an elevated risk of developing diabetes. At the GA cut-off of 13.5%, a high sensitivity (88.9%; 95%CI: 65.3-98.6) and a good specificity (60.4%; 95%CI: 54.8-65.9), was demonstrated for its possible screening use in similar subjects<sup>[[87\]](#page-17-3)</sup>.

It is important to note that fructosamine and GA measurements are not reliable in some physiological and pathological conditions. Every clinical condition that can affect protein and albumin metabolism (nephrotic syndrome, hyperthyroidism, glucocorticoid therapy, liver cirrhosis, *etc*.) may affect these results, where they would also require careful interpretation<sup>[\[14](#page-14-0),62</sup>]. Additionally, similar to HbA1c, fructosamine and GA are determined by genetic variants that are associated with both glycemic and nonglycemic components, both of which should be considered when putting the results in a clinical context<sup>[\[84](#page-17-0)]</sup>.

#### **1,5-ANHYDROGLUCITOL**

1,5-Anhydroglucitol (1,5-AG) is a monosaccharide that is structurally identical to Dglucose with the absence of the C-1 hydroxyl group. It is derived mainly through food intake and also absorbed by the intestine at a rate of approximately 4.4 mg/d. The main source of 1,5-AG is soy beans, but small amounts can be found in rice, pasta, fish, fruits, vegetables, tea, milk and cheese. The metabolic role of 1,5-AG is still quite unknown. It circulates in body in its free form and can be found in all organs and tissues (1,5-AG pool) with the total amount several times higher than that in plasma<sup>[[88\]](#page-17-4)</sup>. A negligible amount is presumed to be synthesized *de novo*<sup>[[89\]](#page-17-5)</sup>. 1,5-AG intake is regulated by its urinary excretion, and 99.9% of 1,5-AG is reabsorbed by the kidneys by the specific sodium glucose active cotransporter (SGLT4)<sup>[\[88](#page-17-4)[,90\]](#page-17-6)</sup>. Reabsorption is competitively inhibited by glucose. When the plasma glucose level exceeds the renal threshold for glucosuria (approximately 10 mmol/L), 1,5-AG is excreted in the urine, which results in a rapid reduction of its serum levels<sup>[[91](#page-17-7)]</sup>. Thus, low values of 1,5-AG reflect both high circulating glucose levels and glucose fluctuation, or so-called hyperglycemic excursion<sup>[[92](#page-17-8)]</sup>. This biomarker may be useful to differentiate between diabetic patients with well-controlled HbA1c but with extensive glucose fluctuations<sup>[[93\]](#page-17-9)</sup>. After normoglycemia is restored, the 1,5-AG concentration returns to its normal value at a rate of  $0.3 \mu g/ml$  per day, and it can take up to 5 wk for this value to increase up to its normal level<sup>[[94](#page-17-10)]</sup>. Due to its half-life of approximately 1 to 2 wk, 1,5-AG can be used as a potential marker for short-term glycemia<sup>[\[95](#page-17-11)]</sup>. Additionally, there is evidence that 1,5-AG reflects the 2-h postprandial glucose (PPG) values of the 2 preceding weeks in moderately controlled patients and is more sensitive and specific than HbA1c<sup>[[96](#page-17-12)]</sup>. PPG values are especially important for clinical decisionmaking concerning changes in the diet or in changes of the pharmacologic treatment of diabetes and overall glycemic control<sup>[[97\]](#page-17-13)</sup>.

1,5-AG can be measured in serum, EDTA-plasma and urine samples. There are two commercially available enzymatic kits for its blood measurement: the Glyco-MarkTM (GlycoMark, Inc) kit that is used in United States and the Determiner-L (Kyowa Medex, Tokyo) kit that is used in Japan. Both of these methods can be applied to

 $\frac{1}{2}$  WJD | https://www.wjgnet.com  $\frac{1}{2}$  January 15, 2019 | Volume 10 | Issue 1 |

automated chemistry analyzers. Recent data has shown a good between-method comparability despite slightly different results that were obtained in the same samples<sup>[[98](#page-17-14)]</sup>. Another method for the determination of 1,5-AG is chromatography, specifically gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS) and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). These methods are sensitive and precise but require sample preparation and are time-consuming and cumbersome<sup>[[99](#page-17-15)]</sup>. Urine, a sample with lower 1,5-AG levels, requires a more sensitive method such as liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) or HPLC[[100](#page-17-16)].

Regarding its association with diabetes and microvascular complications, the ARIC study provided evidence that 1,5-AG levels were associated with prevalent retinopathy and incident CKD, particularly in patients who were diagnosed with diabetes. Despite the low association in nondiabetic subjects, there was a good risk prediction of incident diabetes in both groups<sup>[\[86](#page-17-2),101</sup>].

The results obtained from patients with certain conditions such as kidney disease or pregnancy must be carefully interpreted due to the changes in renal function during these conditions which influences the threshold for glucose excretion. Nevertheless, 1,5-AG can be reliable in subjects with mild to moderate renal insufficiency as a marker for glycemic control<sup>[\[102\]](#page-17-18)</sup>. Furthermore, 1,5-AG can be helpful in cases when frequent adjustments in therapy are required and glycemic control has to be maintained<sup>[\[94](#page-17-10)]</sup>.

Given the limitations of HbA1c and the recently collected evidence on the clinical utility of nontraditional markers of glycemia, their implementation in clinical practice is expected. The recently published reference intervals provide the most valuable tool in facilitating the translation of these biomarkers into routine clinical practice. In a healthy reference population of almost 1800 individuals, the reference ranges for fructosamine, GA and 1,5-AG were reported as  $194.8-258.0 \mu$  mol/L,  $10.7\%$ -15.1% and 8.4-28.7 μg/mL, respectively<sup>[[103](#page-17-19)]</sup>.

#### **DIRECT MEASURES OF GLYCEMIA**

Fasting and postprandial plasma glucose (FPG and PPG, respectively) are obvious measures of glycemia, providing "snapshot" glucose values for primary use in targeting treatment goals, which are currently set at ranges of 4.4-7.2 mmol/L for FPG and  $\leq 10.0$  mmol/L for PPG<sup>[\[1\]](#page-13-0)</sup>. The contributions of these measures to HbA1c have been evaluated<sup>[\[104](#page-17-20)]</sup>, and significant association of PPG with cardiovascular risks was evidenced<sup>[[105\]](#page-17-21)</sup>. Daily plasma glucose values are readily available to patients who perform SMBG as a part of their regular diabetes care but reviewing and interpreting the cumulative SMBG results may propose a significant challenge for healthcare professionals<sup>[[106](#page-17-22)]</sup>.

Advances in both the analytical accuracy and software supporting SMBG, the development of continuous glucose monitoring sensors and, most recently, flashglucose sensing technology, have prompted the development and validation of new, metrics-derived surrogate markers of glycemia which have improved our understanding of the complex glucose dynamics and have provided new tools for patients and healthcare providers in achieving optimal control of diabetes and reducing the frequency of acute and chronic complications of diabetes<sup>[[13](#page-13-12),[14](#page-14-0)]</sup>.

Among the integrated SMBG-derived metrics, the glycemic risk assessment diabetes equation (GRADE) and average daily risk range (ADDR) were found to best correspond with the degrees of risk of hypo- and hyperglycemia that were associated with the glucose profile<sup>[\[107](#page-17-23)]</sup>, and they showed positive correlations with HbA1c and negative correlations with c-peptide levels<sup>[[108](#page-17-24)]</sup>.

As opposed to the SMBG-derived profiles, which are based on a limited number of static plasma glucose measurements throughout the day, CGMS enable a continuous insight into daily glycemia, thus enabling an individualized approach and offering a powerful tool for patients in achieving their glycemic targets and mitigating glycemic excursion. CGMS has yielded previously unreachable measures of glycemia such as average glucose exposure, time in range, hypo- and hyperglycemia and glycemic variability (glucose excursions). The glycemic variability was considered to be a significant risk factor for developing complications that was not reflected by HbA1c levels<sup>[\[13\]](#page-13-12)</sup>. The advantages of using SMBG to improve patient outcomes have been amply evidenced in studies targeting various vulnerable populations of patients with diabetes such as children<sup>[[109\]](#page-18-0)</sup>, pregnant women<sup>[[110\]](#page-18-1)</sup>, the elderly<sup>[\[111](#page-18-2)]</sup>, and the patients suffering from diabetic kidney disease<sup>[[112](#page-18-3)]</sup> and from hypoglycemic episodes<sup>[[113](#page-18-4)]</sup>. However, the high costs, insurance-related limitations and patient- and healthcare provider-related attitudes still hinder a wider utilization of CGMS. The recently published International Consensus on Use of Continuous Glucose Monitoring is an encouraging step forward and is aimed at providing technical and clinical recommendations on the use of CGMS in conjunction with HbA1c, and it provides a comprehensive insight into the state-of-the-art evidence supporting CGMS-derived metrics to improve patient care and clinical outcomes $^{[114]}$  $^{[114]}$  $^{[114]}$ .

#### **CONCLUSION**

Hyperglycemia is a key biochemical feature of diabetes that should be rigorously controlled and maintained in a range as close to normal as possible to mitigate the risk of diabetic complications. Both the level of and exposure to hyperglycemia, as well as glycemic variability, contribute to the pathogenesis of diabetic complications, with different patterns of disease pathogenesis in patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes. Despite its analytical and biological limitations, HbA1c remains the key biomarker of long-term glycemic control. However, it has become apparent in recent years that other glycated proteins, 1,5-AG, and integrated measures from direct glucose testing by SMBG/CGMS may provide valuable data complementary to HbA1c, particularly in circumstances when the HbA1c results may be unreliable or insufficient to assess the risk of adverse outcomes ([Table 3\)](#page-13-13). Long-term associations of these alternative biomarkers of glycemia with the risk of diabetic complications need to be investigated to provide clinically relevant cut-off values and validate their utility in diverse populations of patients with diabetes.



#### <span id="page-13-13"></span>**Table 3 Characteristics of glycaemic biomarkers**



HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1c; SMBG: Self-monitoring of blood glucose; CGMS: Continuous glucose monitoring system.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>1 **American Diabetes Association**. Glycemic Targets: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018. *Diabetes Care* 2018; **41**: S55-S64 [PMID: [29222377](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29222377) DOI: [10.2337/dc18-S006](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc18-S006)]
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>2 **Nathan DM**, Genuth S, Lachin J, Cleary P, Crofford O, Davis M, Rand L, Siebert C; Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 1993; **329**: 977-986 [PMID: [8366922](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8366922) DOI: [10.1056/NEJM199309303291401](https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199309303291401)]
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>3 **Nathan DM; DCCT/EDIC Research Group**. The diabetes control and complications trial/epidemiology of diabetes interventions and complications study at 30 years: overview. *Diabetes Care* 2014; **37**: 9-16 [PMID: [24356592](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24356592) DOI: [10.2337/dc13-2112\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc13-2112)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>4 **UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group**. Effect of intensive blood-glucose control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 34). *Lancet* 1998; **352**: 854-865 [PMID: [9742977](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9742977) DOI: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(98\)07037-8\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(98)07037-8)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>5 **Holman RR**, Paul SK, Bethel MA, Matthews DR, Neil HA. 10-year follow-up of intensive glucose control in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2008; **359**: 1577-1589 [PMID: [18784090](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18784090) DOI: [10.1056/NEJ-](https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0806470)[Moa0806470](https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0806470)]
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>6 **Patel A**, MacMahon S, Chalmers J, Neal B, Billot L, Woodward M, Marre M, Cooper M, Glasziou P, Grobbee D, Hamet P, Harrap S, Heller S, Liu L, Mancia G, Mogensen CE, Pan C, Poulter N, Rodgers A, Williams B, Bompoint S, de Galan BE, Joshi R, Travert F; ADVANCE Collaborative Group. Intensive blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med 2008;* 358: 2560-2572 [PMID: [18539916](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18539916) DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa080298
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>7 **Duckworth W**, Abraira C, Moritz T, Reda D; Emanuele N, Reaven PD, Zieve FJ, Marks J, Davis SN, Hayward R, Warren SR, Goldman S, McCarren M, Vitek ME, Henderson WG, Huang GD; VADT Investigators. Glucose control and vascular complications in veterans with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **360**: 129-139 [PMID: [19092145](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19092145) DOI: [10.1056/NEJMoa0808431\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0808431)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>8 **Gerstein HC**, Miller ME, Byington PR; Goff DC Jr, Bigger JT, Buse JB, Cushman WC, Genuth S, Ismail-Beigi F, Grimm RH Jr, Probstfield JL, Simons-Morton DG, Friedewald WT. Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2008; **358**: 2545-2559 [PMID: [18539917](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18539917) DOI: [10.1056/NEJMoa0802743\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0802743)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>9 **Bonds DE**, Miller ME, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, Byington RP, Cutler JA, Dudl RJ, Ismail-Beigi F, Kimel AR, Hoogwerf B, Horowitz KR, Savage PJ, Seaquist ER, Simmons DL, Sivitz WI, Speril-Hillen JM, Sweeney ME. The association between symptomatic, severe hypoglycaemia and mortality in type 2 diabetes: retrospective epidemiological analysis of the ACCORD study. *BMJ* 2010; **340**: b4909 [PMID: [20061358](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20061358) DOI: [10.1136/bmj.b4909](https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b4909)]
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>10 **Lenters-Westra E**, Schindhelm RK, Bilo HJ, Slingerland RJ. Haemoglobin A1c: Historical overview and current concepts. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2013; **99**: 75-84 [PMID: [23176805](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23176805) DOI: [10.1016/j.diabres.2012.10.007\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2012.10.007)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>11 **Sacks DB**. Hemoglobin A1c in diabetes: panacea or pointless? *Diabetes* 2013; **62**: 41-43 [PMID: [23258914](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23258914) DOI: [10.2337/db12-1485\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/db12-1485)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>12 **Little RR**, Rohlfing CL. The long and winding road to optimal HbA1c measurement. *Clin Chim Acta* 2013; **418**: 63-71 [PMID: [23318564](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23318564) DOI: [10.1016/j.cca.2012.12.026\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2012.12.026)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>13 **Wright LA**, Hirsch IB. Metrics Beyond Hemoglobin A1C in Diabetes Management: Time in

Range, Hypoglycemia, and Other Parameters. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2017; **19**: S16-S26 [PMID: [28541136](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28541136) DOI: [10.1089/dia.2017.0029](https://dx.doi.org/10.1089/dia.2017.0029)]

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>14 **Kohnert KD**, Heinke P, Vogt L, Salzsieder E. Utility of different glycemic control metrics for optimizing management of diabetes. *World J Diabetes* 2015; **6**: 17-29 [PMID: [25685275](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25685275) DOI: [10.4239/wjd.v6.i1.17\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v6.i1.17)
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>15 **Hinzmann R**, Schlaeger C, Tran CT. What do we need beyond hemoglobin A1c to get the complete picture of glycemia in people with diabetes? *Int J Med Sci* 2012; **9**: 665-681 [PMID: [23055818](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23055818) DOI: [10.7150/ijms.4520\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.7150/ijms.4520)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>16 **Cohen RM**, Sacks DB. Comparing multiple measures of glycemia: how to transition from biomarker to diagnostic test? *Clin Chem* 2012; **58**: 1615-1617 [PMID: [23115055](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23115055) DOI: [10.1373/clin](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2012.196139)[chem.2012.196139](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2012.196139)]
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>17 **Trivelli LA**, Ranney HM, Lai HT. Hemoglobin components in patients with diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 1971; **284**: 353-357 [PMID: [5539916](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5539916) DOI: [10.1056/NEJM197102182840703](https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM197102182840703)]
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>18 **John WG**, Lamb EJ. The Maillard or browning reaction in diabetes. *Eye (Lond)* 1993; **7**: 230-237 [PMID: [7607341](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7607341) DOI: [10.1038/eye.1993.55](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/eye.1993.55)]
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>19 **Allen DW**, Schroeder WA, Balog J. Observations on the Chromatographic Heterogeneity of Normal Adult and Fetal Human Hemoglobin: A Study of the Effects of Crystallization and Chromatography on the Heterogeneity and Isoleucine Content. *J Am Chem Soc* 1958; **80**: 1628- 1634 [DOI: [10.1021/ja01540a030\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja01540a030)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>20 **Rahbar S**. An abnormal hemoglobin in red cells of diabetics. *Clin Chim Acta* 1968; **22**: 296-298 [PMID: [5687098](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5687098) DOI: [10.1016/0009-8981\(68\)90372-0](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-8981(68)90372-0)]
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>21 **Leslie RD**, Pyke DA, John PN, White JM. Fast glycosylation of haemoglobin. *Lancet* 1979; **1**: 773- 774 [PMID: [86007](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/86007) DOI: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(79\)91224-8\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(79)91224-8)
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>22 **Weykamp C**. HbA1c: a review of analytical and clinical aspects. *Ann Lab Med* 2013; **33**: 393-400 [PMID: [24205486](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24205486) DOI: [10.3343/alm.2013.33.6.393\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.3343/alm.2013.33.6.393)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>23 **Mosca A**, Goodall I, Hoshino T, Jeppsson JO, John WG, Little RR, Miedema K, Myers GL, Reinauer H, Sacks DB, Weykamp CW; International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, IFCC Scientific Division. Global standardization of glycated hemoglobin measurement: the position of the IFCC Working Group. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2007; **45**: 1077-1080 [PMID: [17867998](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17867998) DOI: [10.1515/CCLM.2007.246](https://dx.doi.org/10.1515/CCLM.2007.246)]
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>24 **Vucic Lovrencic M**, Topic E. Hemoglobin A1c: Standardization of the "gold standard". *Biochem Medica* 2006; **16**: 25-36 [DOI: [10.11613/BM.2006.004](https://dx.doi.org/10.11613/BM.2006.004)]
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>25 **Little RR**. Glycated hemoglobin standardization--National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP) perspective. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2003; **41**: 1191-1198 [PMID: [14598869](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14598869) DOI: [10.1515/CCLM.2003.183](https://dx.doi.org/10.1515/CCLM.2003.183)]
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>26 **Jeppsson JO**, Kobold U, Barr J, Finke A, Hoelzel W, Hoshino T, Miedema K, Mosca A, Mauri P, Paroni R, Thienpont L, Umemoto M, Weykamp C; International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC). Approved IFCC reference method for the measurement of HbA1c in human blood. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2002; **40**: 78-89 [PMID: [11916276](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11916276) DOI: [10.1515/CCLM.2002.016](https://dx.doi.org/10.1515/CCLM.2002.016)]
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>27 **Weykamp C**, John WG, Mosca A, Hoshino T, Little R, Jeppsson JO, Goodall I, Miedema K, Myers G, Reinauer H, Sacks DB, Slingerland R, Siebelder C. The IFCC Reference Measurement System for HbA1c: a 6-year progress report. *Clin Chem* 2008; **54**: 240-248 [PMID: [18223132](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18223132) DOI: [10.1373/clinchem.2007.097402](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2007.097402)]
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>28 **Hanas R**. Psychological impact of changing the scale of reported HbA(1c) results affects metabolic control. *Diabetes Care* 2002; **25**: 2110-2111 [PMID: [12401772](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12401772) DOI: [10.2337/diacare.25.11.2110\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diacare.25.11.2110)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>29 **Hanas R**, John G; International HBA1c Consensus Committee. 2010 consensus statement on the worldwide standardization of the hemoglobin A1C measurement. *Diabetes Care* 2010; **33**: 1903- 1904 [PMID: [20519665](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20519665) DOI: [10.2337/dc10-0953\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc10-0953)
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>30 **Hanas R**, John WG; International HbA1c Consensus Committee. 2013 update on the worldwide standardization of the hemoglobin A(1c) measurement. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2013; **51**: 1041-1042 [PMID: [23612549](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23612549) DOI: [10.1515/cclm-2013-0161\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2013-0161)
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>31 **Sacks DB**, Arnold M, Bakris GL, Bruns DE, Horvath AR, Kirkman MS, Lernmark A, Metzger BE, Nathan DM. Guidelines and recommendations for laboratory analysis in the diagnosis and management of diabetes mellitus. *Clin Chem* 2011; **57**: e1-e47 [PMID: [21617152](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21617152) DOI: [10.1373/clin](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2010.161596)[chem.2010.161596](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2010.161596)]
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>32 **Weykamp C**, John G, Gillery P, English E, Ji L, Lenters-Westra E, Little RR, Roglic G, Sacks DB, Takei I; IFCC Task Force on Implementation of HbA1c Standardization. Investigation of 2 models to set and evaluate quality targets for hb a1c: biological variation and sigma-metrics. *Clin Chem* 2015; **61**: 752-759 [PMID: [25737535](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25737535) DOI: [10.1373/clinchem.2014.235333\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2014.235333)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>33 **Bry L**, Chen PC, Sacks DB. Effects of hemoglobin variants and chemically modified derivatives on assays for glycohemoglobin. *Clin Chem* 2001; **47**: 153-163 [PMID: [11159762](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11159762)]
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>34 **Little RR**, Rohlfing CL, Tennill AL, Hanson SE, Connolly S, Higgins T, Wiedmeyer CE, Weykamp CW, Krause R, Roberts W. Measurement of Hba(1C) in patients with chronic renal failure. *Clin Chim Acta* 2013; **418**: 73-76 [PMID: [23318566](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23318566) DOI: [10.1016/j.cca.2012.12.022](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2012.12.022)]
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>35 **NGSP**. List of NGSP Certified Methods. Accessed August 22 2018. Available from: <http://www.ngsp.org/certified.asp>
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>36 **Nathan DM**, Kuenen J, Borg R, Zheng H, Schoenfeld D, Heine RJ; A1c-Derived Average Glucose Study Group. Translating the A1C assay into estimated average glucose values. *Diabetes Care* 2008; **31**: 1473-1478 [PMID: [18540046](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18540046) DOI: [10.2337/dc08-0545](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc08-0545)]
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>37 **Pani LN**, Korenda L, Meigs JB, Driver C, Chamany S, Fox CS, Sullivan L, D'Agostino RB, Nathan DM. Effect of aging on A1C levels in individuals without diabetes: evidence from the Framingham Offspring Study and the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001- 2004. *Diabetes Care* 2008; **31**: 1991-1996 [PMID: [18628569](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18628569) DOI: [10.2337/dc08-0577](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc08-0577)]
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>38 **Cavagnolli G**, Pimentel AL, Freitas PA, Gross JL, Camargo JL. Effect of ethnicity on HbA1c levels in individuals without diabetes: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**: e0171315 [PMID: [28192447](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28192447) DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0171315](https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171315)]
- <span id="page-14-25"></span>39 **Leong A**, Wheeler E. Genetics of HbA1c: a case study in clinical translation. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2018; **50**: 79-85 [PMID: [29522974](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29522974) DOI: [10.1016/j.gde.2018.02.008\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2018.02.008)
- <span id="page-14-26"></span>40 **Wheeler E**, Leong A, Liu CT, Hivert MF, Strawbridge RJ, Podmore C, Li M, Yao J, Sim X, Hong J,

Chu AY, Zhang W, Wang X, Chen P, Maruthur NM, Porneala BC, Sharp SJ, Jia Y, Kabagambe EK, Chang LC, Chen WM, Elks CE, Evans DS, Fan Q, Giulianini F, Go MJ, Hottenga JJ, Hu Y, Jackson AU, Kanoni S, Kim YJ, Kleber ME, Ladenvall C, Lecoeur C, Lim SH, Lu Y, Mahajan A, Marzi C, Nalls MA, Navarro P, Nolte IM, Rose LM, Rybin DV, Sanna S, Shi Y, Stram DO, Takeuchi F, Tan SP, van der Most PJ, Van Vliet-Ostaptchouk JV, Wong A, Yengo L, Zhao W, Goel A, Martinez Larrad MT, Radke D, Salo P, Tanaka T, van Iperen EPA, Abecasis G, Afaq S, Alizadeh BZ, Bertoni AG, Bonnefond A, Böttcher Y, Bottinger EP, Campbell H, Carlson OD, Chen CH, Cho YS, Garvey WT, Gieger C, Goodarzi MO, Grallert H, Hamsten A, Hartman CA, Herder C, Hsiung CA, Huang J, Igase M, Isono M, Katsuya T, Khor CC, Kiess W, Kohara K, Kovacs P, Lee J, Lee WJ, Lehne B, Li H, Liu J, Lobbens S, Luan J, Lyssenko V, Meitinger T, Miki T, Miljkovic I, Moon S, Mulas A, Müller G, Müller-Nurasyid M, Nagaraja R, Nauck M, Pankow JS, Polasek O, Prokopenko I, Ramos PS, Rasmussen-Torvik L, Rathmann W, Rich SS, Robertson NR, Roden M, Roussel R, Rudan I, Scott RA, Scott WR, Sennblad B, Siscovick DS, Strauch K, Sun L, Swertz M, Tajuddin SM, Taylor KD, Teo YY, Tham YC, Tönjes A, Wareham NJ, Willemsen G, Wilsgaard T, Hingorani AD, Egan J, Ferrucci L, Hovingh GK, Jula A, Kivimaki M, Kumari M, Njølstad I, Palmer CNA, Serrano Ríos M, Stumvoll M, Watkins H, Aung T, Blüher M, Boehnke M, Boomsma DI, Bornstein SR, Chambers JC, Chasman DI, Chen YI, Chen YT, Cheng CY, Cucca F, de Geus EJC, Deloukas P, Evans MK, Fornage M, Friedlander Y, Froguel P, Groop L, Gross MD, Harris TB, Hayward C, Heng CK, Ingelsson E, Kato N, Kim BJ, Koh WP, Kooner JS, Körner A, Kuh D, Kuusisto J, Laakso M, Lin X, Liu Y, Loos RJF, Magnusson PKE, März W, McCarthy MI, Oldehinkel AJ, Ong KK, Pedersen NL, Pereira MA, Peters A, Ridker PM, Sabanayagam C, Sale M, Saleheen D, Saltevo J, Schwarz PE, Sheu WHH, Snieder H, Spector TD, Tabara Y, Tuomilehto J, van Dam RM, Wilson JG, Wilson JF, Wolffenbuttel BHR, Wong TY, Wu JY, Yuan JM, Zonderman AB, Soranzo N, Guo X, Roberts DJ, Florez JC, Sladek R, Dupuis J, Morris AP, Tai ES, Selvin E, Rotter JI, Langenberg C, Barroso I, Meigs JB; EPIC-CVD Consortium; EPIC-InterAct Consortium; Lifelines Cohort Study. Impact of common genetic determinants of Hemoglobin A1c on type 2 diabetes risk and diagnosis in ancestrally diverse populations: A transethnic genome-wide meta-analysis. *PLoS Med* 2017; **14**: e1002383 [PMID: [28898252](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28898252) DOI: [10.1371/journal.pmed.1002383](https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002383)]

- <span id="page-15-0"></span>41 **English E**, Idris I, Smith G, Dhatariya K, Kilpatrick ES, John WG. The effect of anaemia and abnormalities of erythrocyte indices on HbA1c analysis: a systematic review. *Diabetologia* 2015; **58**: 1409-1421 [PMID: [25994072](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25994072) DOI: [10.1007/s00125-015-3599-3](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00125-015-3599-3)]
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>42 **Malka R**, Nathan DM, Higgins JM. Mechanistic modeling of hemoglobin glycation and red blood cell kinetics enables personalized diabetes monitoring. *Sci Transl Med* 2016; **8**: 359ra130 [PMID: [27708063](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27708063) DOI: [10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf9304](https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf9304)]
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>43 **Cohen RM**, Holmes YR, Chenier TC, Joiner CH. Discordance between HbA1c and fructosamine: evidence for a glycosylation gap and its relation to diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes Care* 2003; **26**: 163-167 [PMID: [12502674](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12502674) DOI: [10.2337/diacare.26.1.163](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diacare.26.1.163)]
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>44 **Cohen RM**, Lindsell CJ. When the blood glucose and the HbA(1c) don't match: turning uncertainty into opportunity. *Diabetes Care* 2012; **35**: 2421-2423 [PMID: [23173128](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23173128) DOI: [10.2337/dc12-1479](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc12-1479)]
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>45 **Cohen RM**, Snieder H, Lindsell CJ, Beyan H, Hawa MI, Blinko S, Edwards R, Spector TD, Leslie RD. Evidence for independent heritability of the glycation gap (glycosylation gap) fraction of HbA1c in nondiabetic twins. *Diabetes Care* 2006; **29**: 1739-1743 [PMID: [16873773](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16873773) DOI: [10.2337/dc06-0286](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc06-0286)]
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>46 **Sacks DB**, Nathan DM, Lachin JM. Gaps in the glycation gap hypothesis. *Clin Chem* 2011; **57**: 150-152 [PMID: [21127149](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21127149) DOI: [10.1373/clinchem.2010.158071](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2010.158071)]
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>47 **Chalew SA**, McCarter RJ, Thomas J, Thomson JL, Hempe JM. A comparison of the Glycosylation Gap and Hemoglobin Glycation Index in patients with diabetes. *J Diabetes Complications* 2005; **19**: 218-222 [PMID: [15993356](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15993356) DOI: [10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2005.01.004\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2005.01.004)
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>48 **Nayak AU**, Nevill AM, Bassett P, Singh BM. Association of glycation gap with mortality and vascular complications in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2013; **36**: 3247-3253 [PMID: [23835697](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23835697) DOI: [10.2337/dc12-1040](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc12-1040)]
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>49 **Hempe JM**, Liu S, Myers L, McCarter RJ, Buse JB, Fonseca V. The hemoglobin glycation index identifies subpopulations with harms or benefits from intensive treatment in the ACCORD trial. *Diabetes Care* 2015; **38**: 1067-1074 [PMID: [25887355](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25887355) DOI: [10.2337/dc14-1844](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc14-1844)]
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>50 **Khera PK**, Joiner CH, Carruthers A, Lindsell CJ, Smith EP, Franco RS, Holmes YR, Cohen RM. Evidence for interindividual heterogeneity in the glucose gradient across the human red blood cell membrane and its relationship to hemoglobin glycation. *Diabetes* 2008; **57**: 2445-2452 [PMID: [18591386](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18591386) DOI: [10.2337/db07-1820\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/db07-1820)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>51 **Dunmore SJ**, Al-Derawi AS, Nayak AU, Narshi A, Nevill AM, Hellwig A, Majebi A, Kirkham P, Brown JE, Singh BM. Evidence That Differences in Fructosamine-3-Kinase Activity May Be Associated With the Glycation Gap in Human Diabetes. *Diabetes* 2018; **67**: 131-136 [PMID: [29066600](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29066600) DOI: [10.2337/db17-0441\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/db17-0441)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>52 **Inzucchi SE**, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, Diamant M, Ferrannini E, Nauck M, Peters AL, Tsapas A, Wender R, Matthews DR. Management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes, 2015: a patientcentered approach: update to a position statement of the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2015; **38**: 140-149 [PMID: [25538310](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25538310) DOI: [10.2337/dc14-2441](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc14-2441)]
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>53 **American Diabetes Association**. 2. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018*. *Diabetes Care* 2018; **41**: S13-S27 [PMID: [29222373](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29222373) DOI: [10.2337/dc18-S002\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc18-S002)
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>54 **World Health Organisation**. Use of Glycated Haemoglobin (HbA1c) in the Diagnosis of Diabetes Mellitus Abbreviated Report of a WHO Consultation. Available from: [http://www.who.int/diabetes/publications/diagnosis\\_diabetes2011/en/](http://www.who.int/diabetes/publications/diagnosis_diabetes2011/en/)
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>55 **Sherwani SI**, Khan HA, Ekhzaimy A, Masood A, Sakharkar MK. Significance of HbA1c Test in Diagnosis and Prognosis of Diabetic Patients. *Biomark Insights* 2016; **11**: 95-104 [PMID: [27398023](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27398023) DOI: [10.4137/BMI.S38440\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.4137/BMI.S38440)
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>56 **Cowie CC**, Rust KF, Byrd-Holt DD, Gregg EW, Ford ES, Geiss LS, Bainbridge KE, Fradkin JE. Prevalence of diabetes and high risk for diabetes using A1C criteria in the U.S. population in 1988-2006. *Diabetes Care* 2010; **33**: 562-568 [PMID: [20067953](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20067953) DOI: [10.2337/dc09-1524](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc09-1524)]
- 57 **Davidson MB**, Pan D. Epidemiological ramifications of diagnosing diabetes with HbA1c levels. *J*



*Diabetes Complications* 2014; **28**: 464-469 [PMID: [24768273](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24768273) DOI: [10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2014.03.016\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2014.03.016) 58 **Nowicka P**, Santoro N, Liu H, Lartaud D, Shaw MM, Goldberg R, Guandalini C, Savoye M, Rose

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>P, Caprio S. Utility of hemoglobin A(1c) for diagnosing prediabetes and diabetes in obese children and adolescents. *Diabetes Care* 2011; **34**: 1306-1311 [PMID: [21515842](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21515842) DOI: [10.2337/dc10-1984](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc10-1984)]
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>59 **NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC)**. Effects of diabetes definition on global surveillance of diabetes prevalence and diagnosis: a pooled analysis of 96 population-based studies with 331,288 participants. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2015; **3**: 624-637 [PMID: [26109024](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26109024) DOI: [10.1016/S2213-8587\(15\)00129-1](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(15)00129-1)]
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>60 **Church D**, Simmons D. More evidence of the problems of using HbA1c for diagnosing diabetes? The known knowns, the known unknowns and the unknown unknowns. *J Intern Med* 2014; **276**: 171-173 [PMID: [24443985](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24443985) DOI: [10.1111/joim.12200](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/joim.12200)]
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>61 **Armbruster DA**. Fructosamine: structure, analysis, and clinical usefulness. *Clin Chem* 1987; **33**: 2153-2163 [PMID: [3319287](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3319287)]
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>62 **Parrinello CM**, Selvin E. Beyond HbA1c and glucose: the role of nontraditional glycemic markers in diabetes diagnosis, prognosis, and management. *Curr Diab Rep* 2014; **14**: 548 [PMID: [25249070](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25249070) DOI: [10.1007/s11892-014-0548-3](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11892-014-0548-3)]
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>63 **Lee JE**, Lee JW, Fujii T, Fujii N, Choi JW. The ratio of estimated average glucose to fasting plasma glucose level is superior to glycated albumin, hemoglobin A1c, fructosamine, and GA/A1c ratio for assessing β-cell function in childhood diabetes. *Biomed Res Int* 2014; **2014**: 370790 [PMID: [25013775](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25013775) DOI: [10.1155/2014/370790](https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/370790)]
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>64 **Danese E**, Montagnana M, Nouvenne A, Lippi G. Advantages and pitfalls of fructosamine and glycated albumin in the diagnosis and treatment of diabetes. *J Diabetes Sci Technol* 2015; **9**: 169- 176 [PMID: [25591856](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25591856) DOI: [10.1177/1932296814567227](https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1932296814567227)]
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>65 **Silver AC**, Lamb E, Cattell WR, Dawnay AB. Investigation and validation of the affinity chromatography method for measuring glycated albumin in serum and urine. *Clin Chim Acta* 1991; **202**: 11-22 [PMID: [1807865](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1807865) DOI: [10.1016/0009-8981\(91\)90251-7\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-8981(91)90251-7)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>66 **Day JF**, Thorpe SR, Baynes JW. Nonenzymatically glucosylated albumin. In vitro preparation and isolation from normal human serum. *J Biol Chem* 1979; **254**: 595-597 [PMID: [762083](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/762083)]
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>67 **Yasukawa K**, Abe F, Shida N, Koizumi Y, Uchida T, Noguchi K, Shima K. High-performance affinity chromatography system for the rapid, efficient assay of glycated albumin. *J Chromatogr* 1992; **597**: 271-275 [PMID: [1517327](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1517327) DOI: [10.1016/0021-9673\(92\)80120-J\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0021-9673(92)80120-J)
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>68 **Brede C**, Hop B, Jørgensen K, Skadberg Ø. Measurement of glycated albumin in serum and plasma by LC-MS/MS. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 2016; **76**: 195-201 [PMID: [26898156](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26898156) DOI: [10.3109/00365513.2015.1129671](https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/00365513.2015.1129671)]
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>69 **Testa R**, Guerra E, Bonfigli AR, Di Gaetano N, Santini G, Ceriotti F. Analytical Performances of an Enzymatic Assay for the Measurement of Glycated Albumin. *J Appl Lab Med* 2016; **1**: 162-171 [DOI: [10.1373/jalm.2016.020446](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/jalm.2016.020446)]
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>70 **Kouzuma T**, Usami T, Yamakoshi M, Takahashi M, Imamura S. An enzymatic method for the measurement of glycated albumin in biological samples. *Clin Chim Acta* 2002; **324**: 61-71 [PMID: [12204426](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12204426) DOI: 10.1016/S0009-8981(02)0020
- 71 **Kohzuma T**, Koga M. Lucica GA-L glycated albumin assay kit: a new diagnostic test for diabetes mellitus. *Mol Diagn Ther* 2010; **14**: 49-51 [PMID: [20121290](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20121290) DOI: [10.1007/BF03256353](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF03256353)]
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>72 **Abidin D**, Liu L, Dou C, Datta A, Yuan C. An improved enzymatic assay for glycated serum protein. *Anal Methods* 2013; **5**: 2461-2469 [DOI: [10.1039/C3AY40165K](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C3AY40165K)]
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>73 **Cefalu WT**, Bell-Farrow AD, Petty M, Izlar C, Smith JA. Clinical validation of a secondgeneration fructosamine assay. *Clin Chem* 1991; **37**: 1252-1256 [PMID: [1855298](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1855298)]
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>74 **Goldstein DE**, Little RR, Lorenz RA, Malone JI, Nathan D, Peterson CM, Sacks DB. Tests of glycemia in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004; **27**: 1761-1773 [PMID: [15220264](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15220264) DOI: [10.2337/diacare.27.7.1761](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diacare.27.7.1761)]
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>75 **Rodríguez-Segade S**, Rodríguez J, Camiña F. Corrected Fructosamine improves both correlation with HbA<sub>1C</sub> and diagnostic performance. *Clin Biochem* 2017; **50**: 110-115 [PMID: [27777100](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27777100) DOI: [10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2016.10.014\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2016.10.014)
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>76 **Agarwal MM**, Hughes PF, Punnose J, Ezimokhai M, Thomas L. Gestational diabetes screening of a multiethnic, high-risk population using glycated proteins. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2001; **51**: 67-73 [PMID: [11137184](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11137184) DOI: 10.1016/S0168-8227(00)0020
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>77 **Takahashi S**, Uchino H, Shimizu T, Kanazawa A, Tamura Y, Sakai K, Watada H, Hirose T, Kawamori R, Tanaka Y. Comparison of glycated albumin (GA) and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in type 2 diabetic patients: usefulness of GA for evaluation of short-term changes in glycemic control. *Endocr J* 2007; **54**: 139-144 [PMID: [17159300](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17159300) DOI: [10.1507/endocrj.K06-103\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1507/endocrj.K06-103)
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>78 **Rondeau P**, Bourdon E. The glycation of albumin: structural and functional impacts. *Biochimie* 2011; **93**: 645-658 [PMID: [21167901](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21167901) DOI: [10.1016/j.biochi.2010.12.003](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2010.12.003)]
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>79 **Lu JM**, Ji LN, Li YF, Li QM, Lin SS, Lv XF, Wang L, Xu Y, Guo XH, Guo QY, Ma L, Du J, Chen YL, Zhao CL, Zhang QL, She QM, Jiao XM, Lu MH, Pan RQ, Gao Y. Glycated albumin is superior to glycated hemoglobin for glycemic control assessment at an early stage of diabetes treatment: A multicenter, prospective study. *J Diabetes Complications* 2016; **30**: 1609-1613 [PMID: [27496253](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27496253) DOI: [10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2016.07.007\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2016.07.007)
- <span id="page-16-21"></span>80 **Vos FE**, Schollum JB, Coulter CV, Manning PJ, Duffull SB, Walker RJ. Assessment of markers of glycaemic control in diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease using continuous glucose monitoring. *Nephrology (Carlton)* 2012; **17**: 182-188 [PMID: [21883672](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21883672) DOI: [10.1111/j.1440-1797.2011.01517.x\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1797.2011.01517.x)
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>81 **Dozio E**, Corradi V, Proglio M, Vianello E, Menicanti L, Rigolini R, Caprara C, de Cal M, Corsi Romanelli MM, Ronco C. Usefulness of glycated albumin as a biomarker for glucose control and prognostic factor in chronic kidney disease patients on dialysis (CKD-G5D). *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2018; **140**: 9-17 [PMID: [29596954](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29596954) DOI: [10.1016/j.diabres.2018.03.017](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2018.03.017)]
- <span id="page-16-23"></span>82 **Selvin E**, Sacks DB. Monitoring Glycemic Control in End-Stage Renal Disease: What Should Be Measured? *Clin Chem* 2017; **63**: 447-449 [PMID: [27974388](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27974388) DOI: [10.1373/clinchem.2016.265744](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2016.265744)]
- <span id="page-16-24"></span>83 **Araki T**, Ishikawa Y, Okazaki H, Tani Y, Toyooka S, Satake M, Miwa U, Tadokoro K; Japanese Red Cross GA Research Group. Introduction of glycated albumin measurement for all blood donors and the prevalence of a high glycated albumin level in Japan. *J Diabetes Investig* 2012; **3**: 492-497 [PMID: [24843613](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24843613) DOI: [10.1111/j.2040-1124.2012.00224.x](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2040-1124.2012.00224.x)]



- <span id="page-17-0"></span>84 **Loomis SJ**, Li M, Maruthur NM, Baldridge AS, North KE, Mei H, Morrison A, Carson AP, Pankow JS, Boerwinkle E, Scharpf R, Rasmussen-Torvik LJ, Coresh J, Duggal P, Köttgen A, Selvin E. Genome-Wide Association Study of Serum Fructosamine and Glycated Albumin in Adults Without Diagnosed Diabetes: Results From the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *Diabetes* 2018; **67**: 1684-1696 [PMID: [29844224](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29844224) DOI: [10.2337/db17-1362](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/db17-1362)]
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>85 **Selvin E**, Rawlings AM, Grams M, Klein R, Sharrett AR, Steffes M, Coresh J. Fructosamine and glycated albumin for risk stratification and prediction of incident diabetes and microvascular complications: a prospective cohort analysis of the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2014; **2**: 279-288 [PMID: [24703046](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24703046) DOI: [10.1016/S2213-8587\(13\)70199-2](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(13)70199-2)]
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>86 **Juraschek SP**, Steffes MW, Selvin E. Associations of alternative markers of glycemia with hemoglobin A(1c) and fasting glucose. *Clin Chem* 2012; **58**: 1648-1655 [PMID: [23019309](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23019309) DOI: [10.1373/clinchem.2012.188367](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2012.188367)]
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>87 **Bellia C**, Zaninotto M, Cosma C, Agnello L, Bivona G, Marinova M, Lo Sasso B, Plebani M, Ciaccio M. Clinical usefulness of Glycated Albumin in the diagnosis of diabetes: Results from an Italian study. *Clin Biochem* 2018; **54**: 68-72 [PMID: [29486186](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29486186) DOI: [10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2018.02.017\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2018.02.017)
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>88 **Yamanouchi T**, Tachibana Y, Akanuma H, Minoda S, Shinohara T, Moromizato H, Miyashita H, Akaoka I. Origin and disposal of 1,5-anhydroglucitol, a major polyol in the human body. *Am J Physiol* 1992; **263**: E268-E273 [PMID: [1514606](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1514606) DOI: [10.1152/ajpendo.1992.263.2.E268](https://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1992.263.2.E268)]
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>89 **Nerby CL**, Stickle DF. 1,5-anhydroglucitol monitoring in diabetes: a mass balance perspective. *Clin Biochem* 2009; **42**: 158-167 [PMID: [18804100](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18804100) DOI: [10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2008.08.086](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2008.08.086)]
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>90 **Tazawa S**, Yamato T, Fujikura H, Hiratochi M, Itoh F, Tomae M, Takemura Y, Maruyama H, Sugiyama T, Wakamatsu A, Isogai T, Isaji M. SLC5A9/SGLT4, a new Na+-dependent glucose transporter, is an essential transporter for mannose, 1,5-anhydro-D-glucitol, and fructose. *Life Sci* 2005; **76**: 1039-1050 [PMID: [15607332](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15607332) DOI: [10.1016/j.lfs.2004.10.016](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2004.10.016)]
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>91 **Akanuma Y**, Morita M, Fukuzawa N, Yamanouchi T, Akanuma H. Urinary excretion of 1,5 anhydro-D-glucitol accompanying glucose excretion in diabetic patients. *Diabetologia* 1988; **31**: 831-835 [PMID: [3234638\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3234638)
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>92 **Dungan KM**, Buse JB, Largay J, Kelly MM, Button EA, Kato S, Wittlin S. 1,5-anhydroglucitol and postprandial hyperglycemia as measured by continuous glucose monitoring system in moderately controlled patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2006; **29**: 1214-1219 [PMID: [16731998](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16731998) DOI: [10.2337/dc06-1910](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc06-1910)]
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>93 **Kishimoto M**, Yamasaki Y, Kubota M, Arai K, Morishima T, Kawamori R, Kamada T. 1,5- Anhydro-D-glucitol evaluates daily glycemic excursions in well-controlled NIDDM. *Diabetes Care* 1995; 18: 1156-1159 [PMID: 7!
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>94 **Dungan KM**. 1,5-anhydroglucitol (GlycoMark) as a marker of short-term glycemic control and glycemic excursions. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 2008; **8**: 9-19 [PMID: [18088226](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18088226) DOI: [10.1586/14737159.8.1.9](https://dx.doi.org/10.1586/14737159.8.1.9)]
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>95 **Januszewski AS**, Karschimkus C, Davis KE, O'Neal D, Ward G, Jenkins AJ. Plasma 1,5 anhydroglucitol levels, a measure of short-term glycaemia: assay assessment and lower levels in diabetic vs. non-diabetic subjects. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2012; **95**: e17-e19 [PMID: [22024285](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22024285) DOI: [10.1016/j.diabres.2011.09.032\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2011.09.032)
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>96 **Stettler C**, Stahl M, Allemann S, Diem P, Schmidlin K, Zwahlen M, Riesen W, Keller U, Christ E. Association of 1,5-anhydroglucitol and 2-h postprandial blood glucose in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 2008; **31**: 1534-1535 [PMID: [18426859](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18426859) DOI: [10.2337/dc08-0385\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc08-0385)
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>97 **Monnier L**, Colette C, Dunseath GJ, Owens DR. The loss of postprandial glycemic control precedes stepwise deterioration of fasting with worsening diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2007; **30**: 263- 269 [PMID: [17259492](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17259492) DOI: [10.2337/dc06-1612](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc06-1612)]
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>98 **Selvin E**, Rynders GP, Steffes MW. Comparison of two assays for serum 1,5-anhydroglucitol. *Clin Chim Acta* 2011; **412**: 793-795 [PMID: [21238440](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21238440) DOI: [10.1016/j.cca.2011.01.007](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2011.01.007)]
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>99 **Dąbrowska AM**, Tarach JS, Kurowska M. 1,5-Anhydroglucitol (1,5-Ag) and its Usefulness in Clinical Practice. *Medical And Biological Sciences* 2012; **26**: 11-17
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>100 **Onorato JM**, Langish RA, Shipkova PA, Sanders M, Wang J, Kwagh J, Dutta S. A novel method for the determination of 1,5-anhydroglucitol, a glycemic marker, in human urine utilizing hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography/MS(3). *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* 2008; **873**: 144-150 [PMID: [18760978](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18760978) DOI: [10.1016/j.jchromb.2008.08.006](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2008.08.006)]
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>101 **Lawler PR**, Mora S. Moving beyond mean glycemia: 1,5-anhydroglucitol and microvascular complications of diabetes. *Clin Chem* 2014; **60**: 1359-1361 [PMID: [25217368](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25217368) DOI: [10.1373/clin](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2014.231720)[chem.2014.231720](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2014.231720)]
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>102 **Kim WJ**, Park CY, Lee KB, Park SE, Rhee EJ, Lee WY, Oh KW, Park SW. Serum 1,5 anhydroglucitol concentrations are a reliable index of glycemic control in type 2 diabetes with mild or moderate renal dysfunction. *Diabetes Care* 2012; **35**: 281-286 [PMID: [22210564](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22210564) DOI: [10.2337/dc11-1462](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc11-1462)]
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>103 **Selvin E**, Warren B, He X, Sacks DB, Saenger AK. Establishment of Community-Based Reference Intervals for Fructosamine, Glycated Albumin, and 1,5-Anhydroglucitol. *Clin Chem* 2018; **64**: 843- 850 [PMID: [29436378](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29436378) DOI: [10.1373/clinchem.2017.285742\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2017.285742)
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>104 **Riddle M**, Umpierrez G, DiGenio A, Zhou R, Rosenstock J. Contributions of basal and postprandial hyperglycemia over a wide range of A1C levels before and after treatment intensification in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2011; **34**: 2508-2514 [PMID: [22028279](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22028279) DOI: [10.2337/dc11-0632](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc11-0632)]
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>105 **Raz I**, Wilson PW, Strojek K, Kowalska I, Bozikov V, Gitt AK, Jermendy G, Campaigne BN, Kerr L, Milicevic Z, Jacober SJ. Effects of prandial versus fasting glycemia on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes: the HEART2D trial. *Diabetes Care* 2009; **32**: 381-386 [PMID: [19246588](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19246588) DOI: [10.2337/dc08-1671](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc08-1671)]
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>106 **Kovatchev BP**. Metrics for glycaemic control - from HbA<sub>1c</sub> to continuous glucose monitoring. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2017; **13**: 425-436 [PMID: [28304392](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28304392) DOI: [10.1038/nrendo.2017.3\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2017.3)
- <span id="page-17-23"></span>107 **Hill NR**, Hindmarsh PC, Stevens RJ, Stratton IM, Levy JC, Matthews DR. A method for assessing quality of control from glucose profiles. *Diabet Med* 2007; **24**: 753-758 [PMID: [17459094](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17459094) DOI: [10.1111/j.1464-5491.2007.02119.x\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2007.02119.x)
- <span id="page-17-24"></span>108 **Kim SK**, Kwon SB, Yoon KH, Ahn KJ, Kang JG, Jung HS, Kang ES, Kim JH, Kim KW.



Assessment of glycemic lability and severity of hypoglycemia in Korean patients with type 1 diabetes. *Endocr J* 2011; **58**: 433-440 [PMID: [21505268](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21505268) DOI: [10.1507/endocrj.K11E-014](https://dx.doi.org/10.1507/endocrj.K11E-014)]

- <span id="page-18-0"></span>109 **Lal RA**, Maahs DM. Clinical Use of Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Pediatrics. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2017; **19**: S37-S43 [PMID: [28541138](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28541138) DOI: [10.1089/dia.2017.0013](https://dx.doi.org/10.1089/dia.2017.0013)]
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>110 **Feig DS**, Donovan LE, Corcoy R, Murphy KE, Amiel SA, Hunt KF, Asztalos E, Barrett JFR, Sanchez JJ, de Leiva A, Hod M, Jovanovic L, Keely E, McManus R, Hutton EK, Meek CL, Stewart ZA, Wysocki T, O'Brien R, Ruedy K, Kollman C, Tomlinson G, Murphy HR; CONCEPTT Collaborative Group. Continuous glucose monitoring in pregnant women with type 1 diabetes (CONCEPTT): a multicentre international randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2017; **390**: 2347- 2359 [PMID: [28923465](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28923465) DOI: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32400-5\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32400-5)
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>111 **Ruedy KJ**, Parkin CG, Riddlesworth TD, Graham C; DIAMOND Study Group. Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Older Adults With Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes Using Multiple Daily Injections of Insulin: Results From the DIAMOND Trial. *J Diabetes Sci Technol* 2017; **11**: 1138-1146 [PMID: [28449590](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28449590) DOI: [10.1177/1932296817704445](https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1932296817704445)]
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>112 **Yeoh E**, Lim BK, Fun S, Tong J, Yeoh LY, Sum CF, Subramaniam T, Lim SC. Efficacy of selfmonitoring of blood glucose versus retrospective continuous glucose monitoring in improving glycaemic control in diabetic kidney disease patients. *Nephrology (Carlton)* 2018; **23**: 264-268 [PMID: [27933715](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27933715) DOI: [10.1111/nep.12978](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nep.12978)]
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>113 **Adolfsson P**, Rentoul D, Klinkenbijl B, Parkin CG. Hypoglycaemia Remains the Key Obstacle to Optimal Glycaemic Control - Continuous Glucose Monitoring is the Solution. *Eur Endocrinol* 2018; **14**: 50-56 [PMID: [30349594](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30349594) DOI: [10.17925/EE.2018.14.2.50\]](https://dx.doi.org/10.17925/EE.2018.14.2.50)
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>114 **Danne t**, Nimri r, Battelino T, Bergenstal RM, Close KL, DeVries JH, Garg S, Heinemann L, Hirsch I, Amiel SA, Beck R, Bosi E, Buckingham B, Cobelli C, Dassau E, Doyle FJ 3rd; Heller S, Hovorka R, Jia W, Jones T, Kordonouri O, Kovatchev B, Kowalski A, Laffel L, Maahs D, Murphy HR, Nørgaard K, Parkin CG, Renard E, Saboo B, Scharf M, Tamborlane WV, Weinzimer SA, Phillip M. International Consensus on Use of Continuous Glucose Monitoring. *Diabetes Care* 2017; **40**: 1631-1640 [PMID: [29162583](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29162583) DOI: [10.2337/dc17-1600](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc17-1600)]

**P- Reviewer:** Ciaccio M, Khan HA **S- Editor:** Ma RY **L- Editor:** A **E- Editor:** Wu YXJ







Published By Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-2238242 Fax: +1-925-2238243 E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com) Help Desk:https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

